

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,480	11/14/2003	Klaus-Peter Klos	EL027PK-1	1203	
7590 03/03/2006			EXAMINER		
ORSCHELN MANAGEMENT CO			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E		
2000 US HWY 63 SOUTH MOBERLY, MO 65270			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WODERLI, W	10 05210		1775		
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	pplication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10	/713,480	KLOS ET AL.				
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
			chael La Villa	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	•							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
·		 2b)⊠ This actio	on is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-35</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.							
7)🖾	Claim(s) <u>4-20</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accepte	d or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notic Notic Notic	t <b>(s)</b> e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040329</u> .		Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) /ail Date mal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 1775

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-20, drawn to a method of forming a protective coating on a substrate, classified in class 205, subclass 317.
  - II. Claims 21-35, drawn to a substrate having a protective coating, classified in class 428, subclass 457.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by an alternative process that entails laminating, vapor depositing, thermally spraying, or suspending in solvent and coating the synthetic resin material on the silicate layer, rather than applying the material electrolytically.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

**Art Unit: 1775** 

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Boyer on 21 February 2006 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 21-35 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Claim Objections

8. Claims 4-20 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-20 have not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 10. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1775

11. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

I. Regarding Claim 3, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "alloys thereof" with respect to the Markush group members that are described as being "coated" materials, i.e., where two materials in a coating relationship are specified. It is unclear in what manner, if at all, "alloys thereof" is applicable in this situation. Does this language encompass alloys of only one of the substrate or the coating material, alloys of either material, or some other variation?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 13. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
- 14. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 15. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heimann et al. USPN 6,322,687. Heimann et al. teaches immersion coating a galvanized steel substrate with a basic solution comprising silicate and then electro-coating the resulting silicate coated surface with an organic polymer material. See Heimann et al. (col. 4, lines 21-28; col. 9, lines 18-44; and col. 24, line 40 through col. 25, line 7). The accompanying article "Electrocoating or E-Coat" (Reference U on the PTO-892) teaches that provision of an E-coat involves forming a

**Art Unit: 1775** 

medium with resinous ingredient and applying a current to the medium in a manner that the surface to be coated serves as an electrode.

### CONCLUSION

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael La Villa 22 February 2006

MICHAEL E. LAVILLA PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER